U.S. Department
of Transportation

Federal Aviation Administration

Advisory Circular

Subject: Airport Design

Date: 12/31/2009 **AC No:** 150/5300-13

Initiated by: AAS-100 **Change:** 15

1. PURPOSE. This Change reschedules the One Engine Inoperative (OEI) implementation date from January 1, 2010, to January 1, 2011.

This Change includes the following revisions:

a. Table 1-1 has been corrected to remove the increase in RSA width for an airport reference code (ARC) upgrade from aircraft approach category C to D due to an increase in airport elevation. The requirement was removed in Change 6.

b. Table 2-2 has been updated to clarify Note 7. For Aircraft Approach Category D, all distances for all items in table 2-2 are increased 1 foot per 100 feet increase above sea level to comply with Terminal Instrument Procedures (TERPS).

c. Appendix 2, paragraph 5d(2), and Table A2-1, Note 5, reflect a change in the OEI implementation date from January 1, 2010 to January 1, 2011.

d. Appendix 14, paragraphs 1 and 7, have been revised to include the use of declared distances when the runway threshold is displaced due to obstructions and to clarify the airport owner's responsibility to provide declared distances for inclusion in the Airport/Facility Directory for each operational runway direction.

2. CHANGED TEXT. Changed text is indicated by vertical bars in the margins.

PAGE CONTROL CHART

Remove Pages	Dated	Insert Pages	Dated
7-8	11/10/1994	7	12/31/2009
		8	11/10/1994
15	11/1/2008	15	12/31/2009
16	11/10/1994	16	11/10/1994
101	1/3/2008	101	1/3/2008
102	11/1/2008	102	12/31/2009
103	11/1/2008	103	12/31/2009
104	11/1/2008	104	11/1/2008
275-276	11/10/94	275-276	12/31/2009

J. R. Whitaker

for

Michael J. O'Donnell
Director of Airport Safety and Standards

This page intentionally left blank.

Table 1-1. Increases in airport design standards associated with an upgrade in the first component (aircraft approach category) of the airport reference code

ARC upgrade	Changes in airport design standards
A-I s/ to B-I s/	No change in airport design standards.
B-I s/ to C-I	Increase in crosswind component. Refer to paragraph 203.b. Increase in runway separation standards. Refer to tables 2-1 and 2-2. Increase in RPZ dimensions. Refer to table 2-4 and appendix 14, paragraph 5.b. Increase in OFZ dimensions. Refer to paragraph 306. Increase in runway design standards. Refer to tables 3-1, 3-2, and 3-3. Increase in surface gradient standards. Refer to paragraph 502. Increase in threshold siting standards. Refer to appendix 2, paragraph 5.
A-I to B-I	No change in airport design standards.
B-I / to C-I	Increase in crosswind component. Refer to paragraph 203.b. Increase in runway separation standards. Refer to tables 2-1 and 2-2. Increase in RPZ dimensions. Refer to table 2-4 and appendix 14, paragraph 5.b. Increase in runway design standards. Refer to tables 3-1, 3-2, and 3-3. Increase in surface gradient standards. Refer to paragraph 502.
A-II to B-II	No change in airport design standards.
B-II to C-II	Increase in crosswind component. Refer to paragraph 203.b. Increase in runway separation standards. Refer to tables 2-1 and 2-2. Increase in RPZ dimensions. Refer to table 2-4 and appendix 14, paragraph 5.b. Increase in runway design standards. Refer to tables 3-1, 3-2, and 3-3. Increase in surface gradient standards. Refer to paragraph 502.
A-III to B-III	No change in airport standards.
B-III to C-III	Increase in runway separation standards. Refer to tables 2-1 and 2-2. Increase in RPZ dimensions. Refer to table 2-4 and appendix 14, paragraph 5.b. Increase in runway design standards. Refer to tables 3-1, 3-2, and 3-3. Increase in surface gradient standards. Refer to paragraph 502.
A-IV to B-IV	No change in airport design standards.
B-IV to C-IV	Increase in RPZ dimensions. Refer to table 2-4 and appendix 14, paragraph 5.b. Increase in surface gradient standards. Refer to paragraph 502.

s/ These airport design standards pertain to facilities for small airplanes exclusively.

Table 1-2. Increases in airport design standards to provide for lower approach visibility minimums

Visibility minimums decrease *	Changes in airport design .standards.
Visual to Not lower than 1-Mile (1 600 m)	No change in airport design standards.
Not lower than 1-Mile (1 600 m) to Not lower than 3/4-Mile (1 200 m)	Increase in RPZ dimensions. Refer to table 2-4. Increase in threshold siting standards. Refer to appendix 2, paragraph 5.
Not lower than 3/4-Mile (1 200 m) to Not lower than CAT I	For aircraft approach categories A & B runways: Increase in runway separation standards. Refer to table 2-1. Increase in RPZ dimensions. Refer to table 2-4. Increase in OFZ dimensions. Refer to paragraph 306. Increase in runway design standards. Refer to tables 3-1 and 3-2. Increase in threshold siting standards. Refer to appendix 2, paragraph 5.
	For aircraft approach categories C & D runways: Increase in runway separation standards for ADG I & II runways. Refer to table 2-2. Increase in RPZ dimensions. Refer to table 2-4. Increase in OFZ dimensions. Refer to paragraph 306. Increase in threshold siting standards. Refer to appendix 2, paragraph 5.
Not lower than CAT I to Lower than CAT I	Increase in OFZ dimensions for runways serving large airplanes. Refer to paragraph 306. Increase in threshold siting standards. Refer to appendix 2, paragraph S.

* In addition to the changes in airport design standards as noted, providing for lower approach visibility minimums may result in an increase in the number of objects identified as obstructions to air navigation in accordance with 14 CFR Part 77. This may require object removal or marking and lighting. Refer to paragraph 211.a.(6).

Table 2-2. Runway Separation Standards for aircraft approach categories C & D[7/]

ITEM	DIM 1/	AIRPLANE DESIGN GROUP					
		I	II	III	IV	V	VI
Visual runways and runways with not lower than ¾-statue mile (1200m) approach visibility minimums Runway Centerline to:							
Parallel Runway Centerline	H	Refer to paragraphs 207 and 208					
Holdline		250ft 75m	250ft 75m	250ft 75m	250ft 75m	250ft 6/ 75m	280ft 6/ 85m
Taxiway/Taxilane/ Centerline 2/	D	300ft 90m	300ft 90m	400ft 120m	400ft 120m	3/ 3/	500ft 150m
Aircraft Parking Area	G	400ft 120m	400ft 120m	500ft 150m	500ft 150m	500ft 150m	500ft 150m
Helicopter Touchdown Pad		Refer to Advisory Circular 150/5390-2					
Runways with lower than ¾-statue mile (1200m) approach visibility minimums Runway Centerline to:							
Parallel Runway Centerline	H	Refer to paragraphs 207 and 208					
Holdline		250ft 75m	250ft 75m	250ft 75m	250ft 6/ 75m	280ft 6/ 85m	280ft 6/ 85m
Taxiway/Taxilane/ Centerline 2/	D	400ft 120m	400ft 120m	400ft 120m	400ft 120m	3/ 4/ 3/ 4/	5/ 5/
Aircraft Parking Area	G	500ft 150m	500ft 150m	500ft 150m	500ft 150m	500ft 150m	500ft 150m
Helicopter Touchdown Pad		Refer to Advisory Circular 150/5390-2					

1/ Letters correspond to the dimensions on Figure 2-1.

2/ The taxiway/taxilane centerline separation standards are for sea level. At higher elevations, an increase to these separation distances may be required to keep taxiing and holding airplanes clear of the OFZ (refer to paragraph 206).

3/ For Airplane Design Group V, the standard runway centerline to parallel taxiway centerline separation distance is 400ft (120m) for airports at or below an elevation of 1,345feet (410m); 450feet (135m) for airports between elevations for 1,345 feet (410m) and 6,560 feet (2,000m); and 500 feet (150m) for airports above an elevation of 6,560 feet (2,000m).

4/ For approaches with visibility less than ½-statue mile, the separation distance increases to 500 feet (150m) plus required OFZ elevation adjustment.

5/ For approaches with visibility down to ½-statue mile, the separation distance increases to 500 feet (150m) plus elevation adjustment. For approaches with visibility less than ½-statue mile, the separation distance increases to 550 feet (168m) plus required OFZ elevation adjustment.

6/ For aircraft approach category C, the distance is increased 1 foot for each 100 feet above sea level.

7/ For all airplane design groups that are aircraft approach category D, all distances for all Items in Table 2-2 increase 1 foot for each 100 feet above sea level.

Table 2-3. Taxiway and taxilane separation standards

ITEM	DIM 1/	AIRPLANE DESIGN GROUP					
		I	II	III	IV	V	VI
Taxiway Centerline to:							
Parallel Taxiway/ Taxilane Centerline	J	69 ft 21 m	105 ft 32 m	152 ft 46.5 m	215 ft 65.5 m	267 ft 81 m	324 ft 99 m
Fixed or Movable Object 2 and 3/	K	44.5 ft 13.5 m	65.5 ft 20 m	93 ft 28.5 m	129.5 ft 39.5 m	160 ft 48.5 m	193 ft 59 m
Taxilane Centerline to:							
Parallel Taxilane Centerline		64 ft 195. m	97 ft 29.5 m	140 ft 42.5 m	198 ft 60 m	245 ft 74.5 m	298 ft 91 m
Fixed or Movable Object 2 and 3/		39.5 ft 12 m	57.5 ft 17.5 m	81 ft 24.5 m	112.5 ft 34 m	138 ft 42 m	167 ft 51 m

1/ Letters correspond to the dimensions on Figure 2-1.

2/ This value also applies to the edge of service and maintenance roads.

3/ Consideration of the engine exhaust wake impacted from turning aircraft should be given to objects located near runway/taxiway/taxilane intersections.

The values obtained from the following equations may be used to show that a modification of standards will provide an acceptable level of safety. Refer to paragraph 6 for guidance on modification of standard requirements.

Taxiway centerline to parallel taxiway/taxilane centerline equals 1.2 times airplane wingspan plus 10 feet (3 m).

Taxiway centerline to fixed or movable object equals 0.7 times airplane wingspan plus 10 feet (3 m).

Taxilane centerline to parallel taxilane centerline equals 1.1 times airplane wingspan plus 10 feet (3 m).

Taxilane centerline to fixed or movable object equals 0.6 times airplane wingspan plus 10 feet (3 m).

(a) Remove, relocate, or lower (or both relocate and lower) the object to preclude penetration of applicable siting surfaces unless it is fixed by function and/or designated impracticable. Within 6000' of the Table A2-1 surface origin, objects less than or equal to an elevation determined by application of the formula below are allowable.

E + (0.025 x D)

Where:
E = DER elevation
D = Distance from OCS origin to object in feet

(b) Decrease the Takeoff Distance Available (TODA) to preclude object penetration of applicable siting surfaces, with a resulting shorter takeoff distance (the Departure End of the Runway (DER) is coincident with the end of the TODA where a clearway is not in effect); or

(c) Modify instrument departures. Contact the Flight Procedures Office (FPO) for guidance. Objects penetrating by ≤ 35 feet may not require actions (a) or (b); however, they will impact departure minimums/climb gradients or departure procedures.

b. Relevant Factors for Evaluation.

(1) Types of airplanes that will use the runway and their performance characteristics.

(2) Operational disadvantages associated with accepting higher landing/ takeoff minimums.

(3) Cost of removing, relocating, or lowering the object.

(4) Effect of the reduced available landing/takeoff length when the runway is wet or icy.

(5) Cost of extending the runway if insufficient runway length would remain as a result of displacing the threshold. The environmental aspects of a runway extension need to also be evaluated under this consideration.

(6) Cost and feasibility of relocating visual and electronic approach aids, such as threshold lights, visual glide slope indicator, runway end identification lights, localizer, glide slope (to provide a threshold crossing height of not more than 60 feet (18 m)), approach lighting system, and runway markings.

(7) Effect of the threshold change on noise abatement.

5. CLEARANCE REQUIREMENTS. The standard shape, dimensions, and slope of the surface used for locating a threshold are dependent upon the type of aircraft operations currently conducted or forecasted, the landing visibility minimums desired, and the types of instrumentation available or planned for that runway end.

a. Approaches with Positive Vertical Guidance. Table A2-1 and Figure A2-1 describe the clearance surfaces required for instrument approach procedures with vertical guidance.

The Glidepath Qualification Surface (GQS) limits the height of obstructions between Decision Altitude (DA) and runway threshold (RWT). When obstructions exceed the height of the GQS, an approach procedure with positive vertical guidance is not authorized. Further information can be found in the appropriate TERPS criterion.

b. Instrument Approach Procedures Aligned with the Runway Centerline. Table A2-1 and Figure A2-1 describe the minimum clearance surfaces required for instrument approach procedures aligned with the runway centerline.

c. Procedures Not Aligned with the Runway Centerline. To accommodate for offset procedures, increase the lateral width at threshold by multiplying the width specified in the appropriate paragraph by 2 (offset side only). The outside offset boundary splays from this point at an angle equal to the amount of angular divergence between the final approach course and runway centerline + 10 degrees. Extend the outside offset boundary out to the distance specified in the applicable paragraph and connect it to runway centerline with an arc of the same radius. On the side opposite the offset, construct the area aligned with runway centerline as indicated (non-offset side only). The surface slope is as specified in the applicable paragraph, according to Table A2-1. Figure A2-2 is an example of the offset procedure.

d. Locating or Determining the DER. The standard shape, dimensions, and slope of the departure surface used for determining the DER, as defined in TERPS, is only dependent upon whether or not instrument departures are being used or planned for that runway end. See Table A2-1 and Figures A2-1 and A2-2 for dimensions.

Subparagraph 5d(2) applies only to runways supporting Air Carrier departures and is not to be considered a clearance surface.

(1) For Departure Ends at Designated Runways.

(a) No object should penetrate a surface beginning at the elevation of the runway at the DER or end of clearway, and slopes at 40:1. Penetrations by existing obstacles of 35 feet or less would not require TODA reduction or other mitigations found in paragraph 4; however, they may affect new or existing departure procedures.

(2) Departure Runway Ends Supporting Air Carrier Operations.

(a) Objects should be identified that penetrate a one-engine inoperative (OEI) obstacle identification surface (OIS) starting at the DER and at the elevation of the runway at that point, and slopes upward at 62.5:1. See Figure A2-4. **Note:** This surface is provided for information only and does not take effect until January 1, 2011.

Table A2-1. Approach/Departure Requirements Table

	Runway Type	DIMENSIONAL STANDARDS* Feet					Slope/ OCS
		A	B	C	D	E	
1	Approach end of runways expected to serve small airplanes with approach speeds less than 50 knots. (Visual runways only, day/night)	0	60	150	500	2,500	15:1
2	Approach end of runways expected to serve small airplanes with approach speeds of 50 knots or more. (Visual runways only, day/night)	0	125	350	2,250	2,750	20:1
3	Approach end of runways expected to serve large airplanes (Visual day/night); or instrument minimums ≥ 1 statute mile (day only).	0	200	500	1,500	8,500	20:1
4	Approach end of runways expected to support instrument night circling. [1]	200	200	1,700	10,000	0	20:1
5	Approach end of runways expected to support instrument straight in night operations, serving approach category A and B aircraft only. [1]	200	200	1,900	10,000 [2]	0	20:1
6	Approach end of runways expected to support instrument straight in night operations serving greater than approach category B aircraft. [1]	200	400	1,900	10,000 [2]	0	20:1
7 [3, 6, 7, 8]	Approach end of runways expected to accommodate approaches with positive vertical guidance (GQS).	0	½ width runway + 100	760	10,000 [2]	0	30:1
8	Approach end of runways expected to accommodate instrument approaches having visibility minimums ≥ 3/4 but < 1 statute mile, day or night.	200	400	1,900	10,000 [2]	0	20:1
9	Approach end of runways expected to accommodate instrument approaches having visibility minimums < 3/4 statute mile or precision approach (ILS, GLS, or MLS), day or night.	200	400	1,900	10,000 [2]	0	34:1
10	Approach runway ends having Category II approach minimums or greater.	The criteria are set forth in TERPS, Order 8260.3.					
11	Departure runway ends for all instrument operations.	0 [4]	See Figure A2-3				40:1
12	Departure runway ends supporting Air Carrier operations. [5]	0 [4]	See Figure A2-4				62.5:1

* The letters are keyed to those shown in Figure A2-1.

Notes:

1. Lighting of obstacle penetrations to this surface or the use of a VGSI, as defined by the TERPS order, may avoid displacing the threshold.
2. 10,000 feet is a nominal value for planning purposes. The actual length of these areas is dependent upon the visual descent point position for 20:1 and 34:1 and Decision Altitude point for the 30:1.
3. Any penetration to this surface will limit the runway end to nonprecision approaches. No vertical approaches will be authorized until the penetration(s) is/are removed except obstacles fixed by function and/or allowable grading.
4. Dimension A is measured relative to Departure End of Runway (DER) or TODA (to include clearway).
5. Data Collected regarding penetrations to this surface are provided for information and use by the air carriers operating from the airport. These requirements do not take effect until January 1, 2011.

6. Surface dimensions/Obstacle Clearance Surface (OCS) slope represent a nominal approach with 3 degree GPA, 50' TCH, < 500' HAT. For specific cases refer to TERPS. The Obstacle Clearance Surface slope (30:1) represents a nominal approach of 3 degrees (also known as the Glide Path Angle). This assumes a threshold crossing height of 50 feet. Three degrees is commonly used for ILS systems and VGSI aiming angles. This approximates a 30:1 approach angle that is between the 34:1 and the 20:1 notice surfaces of Part 77. Surfaces cleared to 34:1 should accommodate a 30:1 approach without any obstacle clearance problems.
7. For runways with vertically guided approaches the criteria in Row 7 is in addition to the basic criteria established within the table, to ensure the protection of the Glidepath Qualification Surface.
8. For planning purposes, sponsors and consultants determine a tentative Decision Altitude based on a 3° Glidepath angle and a 50-foot Threshold Crossing Height.

Appendix 14. Declared Distances

1. APPLICATION. The purpose of declared distances in airport design is to provide an equivalent runway safety area (RSA), runway object free area (ROFA), or runway protection zone (RPZ) in accordance with the design standards in Chapters 2 and 3 at existing constrained airports where it is otherwise impracticable to meet standards by other means. Declared distances are also employed when there are obstructions in the runway approaches and/or departure surface that are beyond the ability of the airport owner to remove and result in a displaced runway threshold or change in the departure end of the runway (DER).

a. This appendix, by treating the airplane's runway performance distances independently, provides an alternative airport design methodology by declaring distances to satisfy the airplane's takeoff run, takeoff distance, accelerate-stop distance, and landing distance requirements. The declared distances are takeoff run available (TORA), takeoff distance available (TODA), accelerate-stop distance available (ASDA), and landing distance available (LDA), which when treated independently may include clearway and stopway and may limit runway use. This alternative design methodology may affect the beginning and ending of the RSA, ROFA, RPZ, and primary surface.

b. Where declared distances differ, the primary surface extends 200 feet (60 m) beyond each end of the runway or the far end of each TODA, whichever is further, to protect departures to the extent of the 14 CFR Part 77 approach surface for that runway end, i.e. 20:1, 34:1, and 50:1 originating at or beyond the end of TODA.

2. BACKGROUND. In applying declared distances in airport design, it is helpful to understand the relationship between airplane certification, aircraft operating rules, airport data, and airport design.

a. **Airplane certification** provides the airplane's performance distances. The performance speeds, e.g., V_1, takeoff decision speed, V_{LOF}, lift-off speed, V_2, takeoff safety speed, V_{SO}, stalling speed or the minimum steady flight speed in the landing configuration, and the following distances to achieve or decelerate from these speeds are established by the manufacturer and confirmed during certification testing for varying climatological conditions, operating weights, etc.

(1) **Takeoff run** - the distance to accelerate from brake release to lift-off, plus safety factors.

(2) **Takeoff distance** - the distance to accelerate from brake release past lift-off to start of takeoff climb, plus safety factors.

(3) **Accelerate-stop distance** - the distance to accelerate from brake release to V_1 and then decelerate to a stop, plus safety factors.

(4) **Landing distance** - the distance from the threshold to complete the approach, touchdown, and decelerate to a stop, plus safety factors.

b. **Aircraft operating rules** provide a minimum acceptable level of safety by controlling the airplane maximum operating weights by limiting the airplane's performance distances as follows:

(1) **Takeoff run** shall not exceed the length of runway.

(2) **Takeoff distance** shall not exceed the length of runway plus clearway.

(3) **Accelerate-stop distance** shall not exceed the length of runway plus stopway.

(4) **Landing distance** shall not exceed the length of runway.

c. **Airport data** provides the runway length and/or the following declared distance information for calculating maximum operating weights and/or operating capability.

(1) **Takeoff run available (TORA)** - the length of runway declared available and suitable for satisfying takeoff run requirements.

(2) **Takeoff distance available (TODA)** - the TORA plus the length of any remaining runway or clearway beyond the far end of the TORA available for satisfying takeoff distance requirements. The usable TODA length is controlled by obstacles present in the departure area vis-a-vis aircraft performance. As such, the usable TODA length is determined by the aircraft operator before each takeoff and requires knowledge of the location of each controlling obstacle in the departure area. Extending the usable TODA lengths requires the removal of existing objects limiting the usable TODA lengths.

(3) **Accelerate-stop distance available (ASDA)** - the length of runway plus stopway declared available and suitable for satisfying accelerate-stop distance requirements.

(4) **Landing distance available (LDA)** - the length of runway declared available and suitable for satisfying landing distance requirements.

3. FAA APPROVAL FOR APPLYING DECLARED DISTANCES IN AIRPORT DESIGN. The application of declared distances at a specific location requires prior FAA approval on a case-by-case basis. Approval is reflected on the FAA-approved Airport Layout Plan.

4. RUNWAY SAFETY AREA (RSA) AND RUNWAY OBJECT FREE AREA (ROFA) LENGTHS. The standard RSA length P in the following paragraphs is the length specified in tables 3-1, 3-2, and 3-3 for the RSA length beyond the runway ends. The standard ROFA length R in the following paragraphs is the length specified in tables 3-1, 3-2, and 3-3 for the ROFA length beyond the runway ends. The RSA and the ROFA shall extend for the full length of the runway plus the greater of the following lengths beyond the runway ends for takeoff and landing in both directions.

a. **For takeoff.**

(1) **At the start of takeoff end of runway.** The RSA and the ROFA need to extend behind the start of takeoff to continue the entrance taxiway safety area and taxiway object free area and/or provide an area for jet blast protection. The portion of runway behind the start of takeoff is unavailable and/or unsuitable for takeoff run, takeoff distance, and accelerate-stop distance computations.

(2) **At the far end of runway with stopway.** The RSA shall extend P and the ROFA shall extend R beyond the far end of stopway.

(3) **At the far end of runway without stopway.** The RSA shall extend P and the ROFA shall extend R beyond the far end of ASDA. The portion of runway beyond the ASDA is unavailable and/or unsuitable for accelerate-stop distance computations.

b. **For landing.**

(1) **At the approach end of runway.** The RSA shall extend P and the ROFA shall extend R before the threshold. The portion of runway behind the threshold is unavailable and/or unsuitable for landing distance computations.

(2) **At the rollout end of runway.** The RSA shall extend P and the ROFA shall extend R beyond the rollout end of LDA. The portion of runway beyond the LOA is unavailable and/or unsuitable for landing distance computations.

5. RUNWAY PROTECTION ZONE (RPZ) LOCATION AND SIZE. The RPZ function may be fulfilled by the RPZ beginning at a location other than 200 feet (60 m) beyond the end of the runway. When an RPZ begins at a location other than 200 feet (60 m) beyond the end of runway, two RPZs are required, i.e, a departure RPZ and an approach RPZ. The two RPZs normally overlap.

a. **Approach RPZ.** The approach RPZ shall begin 200 feet (60 m) before the threshold. Table 2-4 contains standard dimensions for approach RPZs. The portion of runway behind the threshold is unavailable and/or unsuitable for landing distance computations.

b. **Departure RPZ.** The departure RPZ shall begin 200 feet (60 m) beyond the far end of TORA. The portion of runway beyond the TORA is unavailable and/or unsuitable for takeoff run computations. The standard dimensions for departure RPZs are:

(1) Starting 200 feet (60 m) beyond the far end of TORA, 1,000 feet (300 m) long, 250 feet (75 m) wide, and at the far end of RPZ 450 feet (135 m) wide—for runways serving only small airplanes in Aircraft Approach Categories A and B.

(2) Starting 200 feet (60 m) beyond the far end of TORA, 1,000 feet (300 m) long, 500 feet (150 m) wide, and at the far end of RPZ 700 feet (210 m) wide—for runways serving large airplanes in Aircraft Approach Categories A and B.

(3) Starting 200 feet (60 m) beyond the far end of TORA, 1,700 feet (510 m) long, 500 feet (150 m) wide, and at the far end of RPZ 1,010 feet (303 m) wide—for runways serving Aircraft Approach Categories C and D.

6. CLEARWAY LOCATION. The clearway is located at the far end of TORA. The portion of runway extending into the clearway is unavailable and\or unsuitable for takeoff run and takeoff distance computations.

7. NOTIF1CATION. The clearway and stopway lengths, if provided, and declared distances (TORA, TODA, ASDA, and LDA) will be provided by the airport owner for inclusion in the Airport/Facility Directory (and in the Aeronautical Information Publication (AIP), for international airports) for each operational runway direction:

a. **The TORA** - the length of the runway less any length of runway unavailable and/or unsuitable for takeoff run computations. See figure A14-l.

b. **The TODA** - the TORA plus the length of any remaining runway and/or clearway beyond the far end of the TORA. See figure A14-2.

c. **The ASDA** - the length of the runway plus the length of any stopway beyond the far end of the runway less any length of runway and/or stopway unavailable and/or unsuitable for accelerate-stop distance computations. See figure A14-3.

d. **The LDA** - the length of the runway less any length of runway unavailable and/or unsuitable for landing distance computations. See figure A14-4. Note: When the threshold is sited for small airplanes (see appendix 2, paragraphs 5a and 5b), report LDA as "LDA for airplanes of 12,500 pounds (5 700 kg) or less maximum certificated takeoff weight."